arXiv:math/0611751v1 [math.PR] 24 Nov 2006

Stochastic anticipating boundary value problems

Andrey A. Dorogovtsev

Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences,
ul. Tereshenkovskaia,3,Kiev, Ukraine
e-mail: adoro@imath.kiev.ua

Introduction. This article is devoted to the stochastic anticipating equations with the extended stochastic integral with respect to the Gaussian processes of a special type. In the particular cases the solutions of such an equations are the well-known Wiener functionals after the second quantization. As an application the stochastic Kolmogorov equation for the conditional distributions of the diffusion process is obtained. Also we will consider the conditional variant of the Feynman–Kac formula. The two last sections of the article are devoted to the smoothing problem in the case when noise is represented by the two jointly Gaussian Wiener processes, which can have not a semimartingale property with respect to the joint filtration. In order to describe the objects of our consideration more explicitly consider the following examples.

Example 0.1. Let w_1, w_2 be an independent one-dimensional Wiener processes starting at zero. For fixed $x > 0$ define

$$\tau_x = inf\{t : x + w_2(t) = w_1(t)\}.$$

If we rewrite τ_x in the form

$$\tau_x = inf\{t : x = w_1(t) - w_2(t)\}$$

then it is well-known [1] that τ_x is finite with probability one. Denote by $u(x,t) = E\{1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau_x \le t\}}/w_1\}$. The problem lies in the description of $u(x,t)$. One of the possible approaches can be following. Denote

$$\widetilde{w}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(w_1(t) - w_2(t)).$$

Then $1\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau_x \le t\}}$ is the functional from the $\widetilde{w}$ on $[0;t]$. So it has an Ito-Wiener expansion as a series of the multiple Wiener integrals:

$$1\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau_x \le t\}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int \overset{t}{\underset{0}{\overset{k}{\cdots}}} \int a_k(r_1, \ldots, r_k) d\widetilde{w}(r_1) \ldots d\widetilde{w}(r_k).$$

Now, note that the conditional expectation of $1\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau_x \le t\}}$ with respect w_1 can be viewed as the action of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup operator $T_{\frac{1}{2}\ln 2}$ [2] on $1\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau_x \le t\}}$. Then

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \int \overset{t}{\underset{0}{\overset{k}{\cdots}}} \int a_k(r_1, \ldots, r_k) dw(r_1) \ldots dw(r_k).$$

So the description of $u(x,t)$ can be obtained if we know the Ito-Wiener expansion of $1\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau_x \le t\}}$. One can receive the kernels from this expansion in the following way. Consider for the function $\varphi \in L_2([0;t])$ the Wick exponent [2]

$$e^{\int_0^t \varphi(s) d\widetilde{w}(s) - \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int \overset{t}{\underset{0}{\overset{k}{\cdots}}} \int \varphi(r_1), \ldots, \varphi(r_k) d\widetilde{w}(r_1) \ldots d\widetilde{w}(r_k).$$

Here $\|\varphi\|$ is the usual norm in $L_2([0;t])$. It follows from the properties of Ito-Wiener expansion, that

$$E 1\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau_x \le t\}} e^{\int_0^t \varphi(s) d\widetilde{w}(s) - \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int \overset{t}{\underset{0}{\overset{k}{\cdots}}} \int \varphi(r_1), \ldots, \varphi(r_k) a_k(r_1, \ldots, r_k) dr_1 \ldots dr_k.$$

Consequently, for our purposes it is enough to know

$$E 1\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau_x \le t\}} e^{\int_0^t \varphi(s) d\widetilde{w}(s) - \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}$$

for the sufficiently large set of functions φ. It occurs that the last mathematical expectation can be obtained as a solution of the certain boundary value problem for the parabolic PDE. This will be done in the section 3 in more general situation.

Example 0.2. Consider the ordinary stochastic differential equation in $\mathbb{R}$

$$dx(t) = a(x(t))dt + b(x(t))dw(t)$$

with the smooth enough coefficients a and b. Denote by $x(r, s, t)$ the solution which starts at the moment s from the point r. Let the function $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ has bounded derivatives. Define for $r \in \mathbb{R}, s \in [0; T]$

$$\Phi(r, s) = \Gamma(\varphi(r, s, T)), \tag{0.1}$$

where Γ is the certain operator of the second quantization [2]. In particular Γ can be a mathematical expectation. Then, it can be proved, that Φ satisfies the following partial stochastic differential equation

$$d\Phi(s, r) = -\left[\frac{1}{2}b^2(r)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}\Phi(r, s) + a(r)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Phi(r, s)\right] ds +$$
$$+ b(r)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Phi(r, s)d\gamma(s).$$

Here $\gamma(s) = \Gamma w(s)$ and the last differential is treated in the sennse of anticipating stochastic integration. When Γ is the mathematical expectation, the last term vanishes.

This two examples shows the main goal of this article. Namely there exist situations when the naturally arising Wiener functionals satisfy the anticipating stochastic differential equations and can be described with the using of stochastic calculus. Here we propose the appropriate machinery and solve the correspondent equations.

We will consider the second quantization transformation of the different Wiener functionals, whose mathematical expectations usually arise in the probability representation of the solutions for a boundary value problems. For such transformed functionals we will get the anticipating stochastic equations with the extended stochastic integral and solve it. Accordingly to this aim the article is organized as follows. The first part contains the properties of the second quantization operators in connection with the extended stochastic integral or, more generally, with the Gaussian strong random operators [3]. In the second part we consider examples which show how the Fourier-Wiener transform works under solution of the boundary value problems with the extended stochastic integral on the interval. In the next part of the article we introduce the anticipating boundary value problems for some Wiener functionals and discuss the solution of the such problems. The section 4 and 5 are devoted to the following pair of equations

$$dx_1(t) = a_1(x_1(t)) + dw_1(t)$$
$$dx_2(t) = a_2(x_1(t)) + dw_2(t),$$

where w_1, w_2 are jointly Gaussian Wiener processes, which can have not a semimartingale property with respect to the joint filtration. In this case we will look for the equation for $E(f(x_1(t))/x_2)$.

1. Second quantization and integrators. The material of this section is partially based on the works [4,5]. Corresponding facts are placed here for the completeness of the exposition but their proofs are omitted. New claims are presented with the proofs.

We will start here with the abstract picture, when the "white noise" generated by the Wiener process is substituted by the generalized Gaussian random element in the Hilbert space. Let H be a separable real Hilbert space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)$. Suppose that ξ is the generalized Gaussian random element in H with zero mean and identical covariation. In other words ξ is the family of jointly Gaussian random variables denoted by $(\varphi, \xi), \varphi \in H$ with the properties

1) (φ, ξ) has the normal distribution with zero mean and variance $\|\varphi\|^2$ for every $\varphi \in H$,

2) (φ, ξ) is linear with respect to φ.

During this section we suppose that all the random variables and elements are measurable with respect to $\sigma(\xi) = \sigma((\varphi, \xi), \varphi \in H$. If the random variable α has the finite second moment, than α has an Ito-Wiener expansion [6]

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(\xi, \ldots, \xi). \tag{1.1}$$

Here, for every $k \geq 1$ $A_k(\xi, \ldots, \xi)$ is the infinite-dimensional generalization of the Hermite polinomial from ξ, correspondent to the k-linear symmetric Hilbert-Shmidt form A_k on H. Moreover, now the following relation holds

$$E\alpha^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k!\|A_k\|_k^2. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_k$ is the Hilbert-Shmidt form in $H^{\otimes k}$. The same expansion for H-valued random elements will be necessary. Let x be a random element in H such, that

$$E\|x\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Then, for every $\varphi \in H$

$$(x, \varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(\varphi; \xi, \ldots, \xi). \tag{1.3}$$

It can be easily checked using (1.2), that now A_k is the $k{+}1$-linear (not necessary symmetric) Hilbert-Shmidt form. So one can write now

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_k(\xi, \dots, \xi), \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\widetilde{A}_k(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_k(e_j; \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k) e_j$$

for the arbitrary orthonormal basis $\{e_j; j \geq 1\}$ in H and the series (1.4) converges in H in the square mean. The relation (1.2) remains to be true

$$E\|x\|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k! \|\widetilde{A}_k\|_k^2, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\|\widetilde{A}_k\|_k$ is the Hilbert–Shmidt norm in the space of H-valued k-linear forms on H.

Now recall the definition of the operators of the second quantization. Let C be a continuous linear operator in H. Suppose that the operator norm $\|C\| \leq 1$. Then for α and x from (1.1) and (1.4) define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(C)\alpha &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(C\xi, \dots, C\xi), \\ \Gamma(C)x &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_k(C\xi, \dots, C\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where for $k \geq 1$ $A_k(C\cdot, C\cdot, \dots, C\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{A}_k(C\cdot, C\cdot, \dots, C\cdot)$ are new Hilbert-Shmidt forms.

Using the estimation

$$\|A_k(C\cdot, C\cdot, \dots, C\cdot)\|_k \leq \|C\|^k \cdot \|A_k\|_k$$

it is easy to prove [2], that $\Gamma(C)$ is a continuous linear operator in the space of square integrable random variables or elements in H.

Definition 1.1. [2] Operator $\Gamma(C)$ is the operator of the second quantization correspondent to the operator C.

Before to consider some examples, we will present the useful representation of the second quantization operators. Let ξ' be the generalized Gaussian random element in H, independent and equidistributed with ξ.

Consider the following generalized Gaussian random element in H

$$\eta = \sqrt{1 - CC^*}\xi' + C\xi. \tag{1.7}$$

This element can be properly defined by the formula

$$\forall \varphi \in H : \qquad (\varphi, \eta) := (\sqrt{1 - CC^*}\varphi, \xi') + (C^*\varphi, \xi). \tag{1.8}$$

Note that η has zero mean and identity covariation. In order to check this, is sufficient to note the relation

$$\|\varphi\|^2 = \|\sqrt{1 - CC^*}\varphi\|^2 + \|C^*\varphi\|^2.$$

For every random variable α with an expansion (1.1) define

$$\alpha(\eta) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(\eta, \ldots, \eta).$$

The following representation will be useful.

Lemma 1.1. *For arbitrary $\alpha \in L_2(\Omega, \sigma(\xi), P)$ and operator C in H with $\|C\| \leq 1$*

$$\Gamma(C)\alpha = E(\alpha(\eta)/\xi). \tag{1.9}$$

Proof. Note, that the both parts of (1.9) are continuous with respect to α in the square mean. So, it is enough to check (1.9) for the following random variables

$$e^{(\varphi,\xi) - \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}, \ \varphi \in H. \tag{1.10}$$

Really, the random variable of this kind has the Ito-Wiener expansion of the form

$$e^{(\varphi,\xi) - \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \varphi^{\otimes k}(\xi, \ldots, \xi).$$

Here $\varphi^{\otimes k}$ is k-th tensor power of φ which acts on H by the rule

$$\varphi^{\otimes k}(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (\varphi, \psi_j).$$

So, as it was mentioned in introduction, for α, which has an expansion (1.1)

$$E\alpha e^{(\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}A_k(\varphi,\dots,\varphi).$$

Hence, the set of all linear combinations of the variables (1.10) is dense in L_2. Now [2] the following equality holds

$$\Gamma(C)e^{(\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}=e^{(C^*\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|C^*\varphi\|^2}. \tag{1.11}$$

From other side

$$e^{(\varphi,\eta)-\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}=e^{(C^*\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|C^*\varphi\|^2}\cdot e^{(\sqrt{1-CC^*}\varphi,\xi')-\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{1-CC^*}\varphi\|^2}.$$

In order to finish the proof it is enough now note, that

$$Ee^{(\sqrt{1-CC^*}\varphi,\xi')-\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{1-CC^*}\varphi\|^2}=1,$$

and that ξ' and ξ are independent. It follows from here, that

$$E\left(e^{(\varphi,\eta)-\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}/\xi\right)=e^{(C^*\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|C^*\varphi\|^2}.$$

Lemma is proved.

This lemma has the following useful application for us.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $\Gamma(C)$ be an operator of the second quantization. Let x be a random element in the complete separable metric space $\mathfrak{X}$ measurable with respect to ξ. Then there exists the random probability measure μ on $\mathfrak{X}$ such, that for every bounded measurable function $f:\mathfrak{X}\to\mathbb{R}$ the following equality holds*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}}fd\mu=\Gamma(C)f(x).$$

Proof. Let us define μ as a conditional distribution of $x(\eta)$ with respect to ξ. Then, for every bounded measurable $f:\mathfrak{X}\to\mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{\mathfrak{X}}fd\mu=E(f(x(\eta))/\xi)=\Gamma(C)f(x).$$

The unique difficulty on this way lies in the proper definition of $x(\eta)$ (remind, that ξ and η are not usual random elements). In order to break this difficulty we will use the following analog of the Levy theorem. Let $\{e_j; j \geq 1\}$ be an orthonormal basis in H. Define the sequences of random elements in H by the rule

$$\xi_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (e_j, \xi) e_j,$$

$$\eta_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (e_j, \eta) e_j, \ n \geq 1.$$

Note that the sequences $\{\xi_n; n \geq 1\}$ and $\{\eta_n; n \geq 1\}$ are equidistributed. Now for every $n \geq 1$ consider the random measure ν_n in $\mathfrak{X}$, which is built in the following way

$$\nu_n(\Delta) = E\{1\!\!\mathrm{I}_\Delta(x)/\xi_n\}.$$

Here Δ is an arbitrary Borel subset of $\mathfrak{X}$. This random measures have two important properties. First of all for every $n \geq 1$ ν_n can be viewed as $\widetilde{\nu}_n(\xi_n)$, where $\widetilde{\nu}_n$ is a Borel function from H to the space of all probability measures on $\mathfrak{X}$ equipped with the distance of weak convergence. Secondly, with probability one ν_n weakly converge to δ_x under n tends to infinity. The last assertion follows from the usual Levy theorem [7]. More precisely, for arbitrary continuous bounded function $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$f(x) = E(f(x)/\xi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} E(f(x)/\xi_n) =$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathfrak{X}} f(u) \nu_n(du) \text{ a.s.}$$

Taking f from the countable set which define the weak convergence [8] we get the required statement. Now note, that the sequence of random measures $\{\widetilde{\nu}_n(\eta\ n \geq 1\}$ is equidistributed with $\{\widetilde{\nu}_n(\xi_n); n \geq 1\}$. Hence with probability one there exists the weak limit of $\widetilde{\nu}_n(\eta_n)$ which is a delta-measure concentrated in the certain random point y. This random point y is by definition $x(\eta)$. The correctness of this definition can be easily checked. Lemma is proved.

Consider the examples of the random measures, which arise in the application of the Corollary 1.1 and will be important for us.

Example 1.1. Suppose, that $H = L_2([0; T], \mathbb{R}^d)$. Define the generalized Gaussian random element ξ in H with the help of the d-dimensional Wiener process W on $[0;T]$. Namely, for $\varphi=(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_d)\in L_2([0;1],\mathbb{R}^d)$ define

$$(\varphi,\xi):=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_0^T\varphi_j(s)dW_j(s). \tag{1.12}$$

Now consider the functions $a:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ and $b:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ which satisfy the Lipshitz condition and the domain G in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the C^1-boundary Γ. Let for every $s\in[0;T]$ and $u\in\mathbb{R}^d$ $x(u,s,T)$ denote the solution at time T of the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} dx(t)=a(x(t))dt+b(x(t))dW(t),\\ x(s)=u. \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

Denote by $\nu_{u,s}$ the random measure obtained from $x(u,s,T)$ via corollary 1.1 with the help of the certain operator of the second quantization $\Gamma(C)$. In the next section we will obtain the stochastic variant of the Kolmogorov equation for $\nu_{u,s}$. Note, that in the case $C=0$ measures $\nu_{u,s}$ became to be deterministic and satisfy the usual Kolmogorov equation.

Now let us define for every $u\in G$ the random moment

$$\tau_{u,s}=\inf\{T,t\le T:x(u,s,t)\in\Gamma\}.$$

Let $\mu_{u,s}$ be the random measure obtained from $x(u,s,\tau_{u,s})$ via corollary 1.1. It occurs, that measures $\mu_{u,s}$ satisfy certain anticipating boundary value problem.

In order to describe the anticipating SPDE for the random measures from above mentioned example we need in the relation between the operators of the second quantization and extended stochastic integral. We will study this connection in the more general situation when the extended stochastic integral is substituted by the general Gaussian strong random operator (GSRO in the sequel). Let us recall the following definition.

Definition 1.2. [3] The Gaussian strong random linear operator (GSRO) A in H is the mapping, which maps every element x of H into the jointly Gaussian with ξ random element in H and is continuous in the square mean.

As an example of GSRO the integral with respect to Wiener process can be considered.

Example 1.2. Consider H and ξ from example 1.1. Let for simplicity $d = 1$. Define GSRO A in the following way

$$\forall \varphi \in H: \qquad (A\varphi)(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(s)dw(s), \quad t \in [0;T].$$

It can be easily seen that $A\varphi$ now is a Gaussian random element in H, and A is continuous in square mean.

For to include in this picture the integration with respect to another Gaussian processes (for example with respect to the fractional Brownian motion) consider more general GSRO. Suppose, that K be a bounded linear operator, which acts from $L_2([0;T])$ to $L_2([0;T]^2)$. Define

$$\forall \varphi \in H: \qquad (A\varphi)(t) = \int_0^T (K\varphi)(t,s)dw(s).$$

It can be checked, that A is GSRO in H. Making an obvious changes one can define the GSRO acting from the different Hilbert space H_1 into H. For example consider for $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{2}; 1\right)$ the covariation function of the fractional Brownian motion [9] with Hurst parameter α

$$R(s,t) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2\alpha} + s^{2\alpha} - |t-s|^{2\alpha}).$$

Define the space H_1 as a completion of the set of step functions on $[0;T]$ with respect the inner product under which

$$(1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[0;s]}, 1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[0;t]}) = R(s,t).$$

Consider the kernel K^α from the integral representation of the fractional Brownian motion B^α [10]

$$B^\alpha(t) = \int_0^t K^\alpha(t,s)dw(s)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial K^\alpha}{\partial t}(t,s) = c_\alpha \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right)(t-s)^\alpha - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}.$$

Define for $\varphi \in H_1$

$$(K\varphi)(t,s) = \int_s^t \varphi(r)\frac{\partial K^\alpha}{\partial r}(r,s)dr 1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[0;t]}(s).$$

Now let

$$(A\varphi)(t) = \int_0^T (K\varphi)(t,s)dw(s) = \int_0^t (K\varphi)(t,s)dw(s).$$

Then

$$(A\varphi)(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(s)dB^\alpha(s).$$

We will consider the action of GSRO on the random elements in H. Corresponding definition was proposed in [3, 11]. Consider arbitrary GSRO A in H. Then for every $\varphi \in H$ the Ito-Wiener expansion of $A\varphi$ contains only two terms

$$A\varphi = \alpha_0\varphi + \alpha_1(\varphi)(\xi). \tag{1.14}$$

Here α_0 is a continuous linear operator in H and α_1 is a continuous linear operator from H to the space of Hilbert-Shmidt operators in H. Now let x be a random element in H with the finite second moment. Then $\alpha_1(x)$ has a finite second moment in the space of Hilbert-Shmidt operators. So for every $\varphi \in H$

$$\alpha_1(x)(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(\varphi; \xi, \ldots, \xi).$$

It can be easily verified, that B_k is $k+1$-linear H-valued Hilbert-Shmidt form on H. Define ΛB_k as a symmetrization of B_k with respect to all $k+1$ variables.

Definition 1.3. [3, 11] The random element x belongs to the domain of definition of GSRO A if the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda B_k(\xi, \ldots, \xi)$$

converges in H in the square mean and in this case

$$Ax = \alpha_0 x + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Lambda B_k(\xi, \ldots, \xi). \tag{1.15}$$

In the partial cases this definition gives us the definition of the extended stochastic integral [6, 12, 13]. We will define this integral for the special class of Gaussian processes. Suppose, that $H = L_2([0;T])$ and ξ is generated by the Wiener process w as above. Consider the jointly Gaussian with w process $\{\gamma(t); t \in [0;T]\}$ with zero mean.

Definition 1.4. [14] Process γ is an integrator if there exists the constant C such that for every step function φ on $[0;T]$

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k 1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[t_k;t_{k+1})}$$

the following unequality holds

$$E(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k(\gamma(t_{k+1}) - \gamma(t_k)))^2 \le C \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k^2 (t_{k+1} - t_k). \tag{1.16}$$

The good examples of the integrators can be obtained via the following simple statement.

Lemma 1.2. *Let* $\Gamma(C)$ *be an operator of the second quantization. Then*

$$\gamma(t) = \Gamma(C)w(t), t \in [0;T]$$

is an integrator.

The proof of this lemma easily follows from the properties of $\Gamma(C)$.

Note that the integrator can have the unbounded quadratic variation and consequently can have not the semimartingale properties (see [14]). It is easy to see from (1.16), that for every integrator γ and $\varphi \in L_2([0;T])$ the stochastic integral

$$\int_0^t \varphi d\gamma$$

exists as a limit of the integrals from the step functions and

$$E\left(\int_0^t \varphi d\gamma\right)^2 \le C \int_0^t \varphi^2(s)ds.$$

So one can define GSRO A_γ associated with the integrator γ by the rule

$$\forall \varphi \in L_2([0;T]) : \qquad (A_\gamma \varphi)(t) = \int_0^t \varphi d\gamma.$$

In this situation the definition 1.3 became to be a definition of the extended stochastic integral with respect to γ. Note that in the case $\gamma = w$ it will be a usual extended integral.

Now let us consider the relation between the action of GSRO and the operators of the second quantization.

Theorem 1.1. [4] *Let A be a GSRO in H and $\Gamma(C)$ be an operator of the second quantization. Suppose that the random element x lies in the domain of definition of A in the sence of definition 1.3. Then $\Gamma(C)x$ belongs to the domain of definition of GSRO $\Gamma(C)A$ and the following equality holds*

$$\Gamma(C)(Ax) = \Gamma(C)A(\Gamma(C)x). \tag{1.17}$$

Here $\Gamma(C)A$ is the GSRO which acts by the rule

$$\forall \varphi \in H : \ \Gamma(C)A\varphi = \Gamma(C)(A\varphi).$$

The proof of this theorem is placed in [4] and so is omitted. Instead the proof consider the following important example of application of the theorem 1.1 to the stochastic integration.

Example 1.3. Consider in the situation of the example 1.2 GSRO of integration with respect to Wiener process w. Suppose that random function x in $L_2([0;T])$ with the finite second moment is adapted to the flow of σ-fields generated by w. It is well-known [12, 13], that in this case the extended stochastic integral

$$\int_0^t x(s)dw(s), \ t \in [0;T]$$

exists and coincides with the Ito integral. Now the theorem 1.1 says us that

$$\Gamma(C)\left(\int_0^t x(s)dw(s)\right) = \int_0^t \Gamma(C)x(s)d\gamma(s),$$

where γ is an integrator of the type $\gamma(t) = \Gamma(C)w(t)$ and the integral in the right part is an extended stochastic integral.

2. Anticipating equations and boundary value problems for the second quantization of the certain Wiener functionals. In this section we use the theorem 1.1 for to get the stochastic equations for the functions from example 1.1. In order to do this we will need in the notion of the weak solution of the equation with the extended stochastic integral. Let us consider for GSRO A, random element x from the domain of A and $\varphi \in H$

$$E(Ax)e^{(\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}. \tag{2.1}$$

This expectation in Hilbert space is a Bochner integral.

Lemma 2.1. *Integral (2.1) can be expressed in the following way*

$$E(Ax)e^{(\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2} = \alpha_0 x_\varphi + \alpha_1(x_\varphi)(\varphi). \tag{2.2}$$

Here α_0 and α_1 are the terms from expansion of A (1.14) and

$$x_\varphi = Exe^{(\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2}.$$

Proof. Consider the Ito-Wiener expansion of x

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(\xi, \dots, \xi).$$

It is known [2], that

$$e^{(\varphi,\xi)-\frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}\varphi^{\otimes k}(\xi, \dots, \xi).$$

So, by the properties of Ito-Wiener expansion

$$x_\varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(\varphi, \dots, \varphi).$$

By the usual continuity arguments it is enough to verify the statement of the lemma for the case $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $x = B_k(\xi, \dots, \xi)$ for a certain $k \geq 0$. In this case

$$Ax = \Lambda D_{k+1}(\xi, \dots, \xi),$$

where the $k+1$-linear Hilbert-Shmidt form D_{k+1} has a following representation

$$D_{k+1}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k+1}) = \alpha_1(B_k(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k))(\varphi_{k+1}).$$

Since

$$D_{k+1}(\varphi, \dots, \varphi) = \alpha_1(x_\varphi)(\varphi)$$

the lemma is proved.

This lemma allows to define the action of the unbounded Gaussian random operator on the random elements in the weak sense. Let H_1 be a separable real Hilbert space densely and continuously embedded into H. Consider GSRO A acting from H_1 to H (in another word for every $u \in H_1$ Au is a Gaussian element in H and this correspondence is continuous in the square mean with respect to the convergence in H_1). Then A can be treated as an unbounded Gaussian random operator in H. As it was mentioned above, A can be described with the help of two deterministic linear operators $\alpha_0 : H_1 \to H$, $\alpha_1 : H_1 \to \sigma_2(H)$ (here $\sigma_2(H)$ is the space of the Hilbert-Shmidt operators in H with the correspondent norm). Operators α_0 and α_1 can be considered as an operators on H. Then the correspondence

$$H \supset H_1 \ni u \mapsto \alpha_0(u) + \alpha_1(u)(\xi)$$

defines an unbounded Gaussian random operator in H with the domain of definition H_1. The next definition is closely related to the lemma 2.1.

Definition 2.1. The random element x in H with the finite second moment belongs in the weak sense to the domain of definition of the unbounded Gaussian random operator A if there exist the dense subset $L \subset H$ and the random element y in H with the finite second moment such, that

$$\forall \varphi \in L : \quad x_\varphi = E x e^{(\varphi,\xi) - \frac{1}{2}\|\xi\|^2} \in H_1,\ \alpha_0(x_\varphi) + \alpha_1(x_\varphi)(\varphi) = y_\varphi. \qquad (2.3)$$

Here y_φ is defined in the same way as x_φ.

Consider an example of the situation described in the previous definition. Note, that in a similar way the definition of the action of unbounded Gaussian random operator on the Hilbert space $H' \neq H$ can be defined.

Example 2.1. Case of deterministic operator. Let $H' = L_2(\mathbb{R}\times[0;1], e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx \times dt)$. Consider the nonrandom operator A in H' which is defined by the formula

$$Af(x,t) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,s)ds.$$

As a Hilbert space H_1 let us use

$$H_1 = W_2^1(\mathbb{R}, e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx) \times L_2([0;1]),$$

i.e. H_1 consists of the functions from $x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0;1]$ which have one Sobolev derivative with respect to x which is in H'. Consider the following random element in H'

$$X(x,t) = 1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{\{w(t)\le x\}}.$$

It is easy to verify that X has a finite second moment

$$E\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_0^1 X(x,t)^2\cdot e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dxdt\le\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Note, that for fixed t $X(\cdot,t)\notin W_2^1(\mathbb{R},e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx)$. Indeed due to the Sobolev embedding theorem all functions from $W_2^1(\mathbb{R},e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx)$ must be continuous. Let us prove that X belongs to the domain of definition A in the sense of definition 2.1. Take $\varphi\in L_2([0;1])$ and consider

$$X_\varphi(x,t)=E1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{\{w(t)\le x\}}\exp\left\{\int_0^1\varphi(s)dw(s)-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\varphi^2(s)ds\right\}.$$

It follows from Girsanov theorem that

$$X_\varphi(x,t)=E1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{\{w(t)+\int_0^t\varphi(s)ds\le x\}}.$$

Then $X_\varphi\in H_1$ and

$$\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_\varphi(x,s)ds=\int_0^t\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}}\exp-\frac{1}{2s}\left\{x-\int_0^s\varphi(r)dr\right\}^2ds. \tag{2.4}$$

Now find Y with the finite second moment in $\widetilde{H}$ such, that Y_φ equals to (2.4). Consider the sequence

$$Y^n(x,t)=2n\int_0^t 1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[x-\frac{1}{n};x+\frac{1}{n}]}(w(s))ds,n\ge1.$$

Note [1], that there exists the random field $Y(x,t),x\in\mathbb{R},t\in[0;1]$ such, that

$$E(Y^n(x,t)-Y(x,t))^2\to0,n\to\infty.$$

Also note, that

$$\sup_{n\ge1}EY^n(x,t)^2\le c|x|.$$

This can be easily checked using Tanaka approach. Hence Y is the random element in $\widetilde{H}$ with the finite second moment. Moreover

$$Y_\varphi(x,t)=EY(x,t)\exp\left\{\int_0^1\varphi(s)dw(s)-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\varphi^2(s)ds\right\}=$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} EY^n(x,t) \exp\left\{\int_0^1 \varphi(s)dw(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \varphi^2(s)ds\right\} =$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} E2n \int_0^t 1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[x-\frac{1}{n};x+\frac{1}{n}]}(w(s))ds \exp\left\{\int_0^1 \varphi(s)dw(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \varphi^2(s)ds\right\} =$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} E2n \int_0^t 1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[x-\frac{1}{n};x+\frac{1}{n}]}(w(s) + \int_0^s \varphi(r)dr)ds =$$

$$= \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \exp -\frac{1}{2s}\left\{x - \int_0^s \varphi(r)dr\right\}^2 ds.$$

So,

$$Y_\varphi(x,t) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_\varphi(x,s)ds.$$

Consequently X belongs to the domain of definition of operator A in the sense of definition 2.1 and AX is the local time of the Wiener process. Note, that the Ito-Wiener expansion of the Wiener local time and more general related objects can be found in [15, 16].

Example 2.2. Let the spaces $\widetilde{H}$ be as in the previous example. Suppose, that the space H_1 is built similarly to the previous example with the substitution of $W_2^1(\mathbb{R}, e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx)$ by $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}, e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}dx)$. Define the Gaussian random operator A on H_1 in the following way

$$Af(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x,s)ds + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,s)dw(s).$$

Let us consider arbitrary bounded and measurable function h on $\mathbb{R}$ and define the random element X in $\widetilde{H}$ by the formula

$$X(x,t) = h(x + w(t)).$$

Prove, that X belongs to the domain of definition of A in the weak sense. Really, the operators α_0 and α_1 from the Ito-Wiener representation of A now has the form

$$\alpha_0(u)(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x,s)ds,$$

$$\alpha_1(u)(\varphi)(x,t) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x,s)\varphi(s)ds.$$

Consider

$$X_\varphi(x,t) = Eh(x+w(t)) \exp\left\{\int_0^1 \varphi(s)dw(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \varphi^2(s)ds\right\} =$$

$$= Eh(x+w(t)+\int_0^t \varphi(s)ds) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(u)\exp -\frac{1}{2t}\left\{u - x - \int_0^t \varphi(s)ds\right\}^2 du.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}X_\varphi(x,s) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_\varphi(x,s)\varphi(s)ds = X_\varphi(x,t) - h(x).$$

The last equality is valid every sure with respect to the Lebesque measure. So it is right as an equality between the elements from $\widetilde{H}$. Finally we see, that X belongs to the domain of definition A in the weak sense.

Now let us turn to the equations with the GSRO. Here we will consider two types of anticipating equations. In the first one the equation has the usual Ito character but the initial value depends on future increments of Wiener process. In the second one the equation itself contains the integral with respect to the integrators.

Firstly let us consider the equation with the operator from the example 2.2 written in the differential form

$$\begin{cases} dU(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}U(x,t)dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}U(x,t)dw(t), \\ U(x,0) = f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Suppose that initial condition f is the random function, which is measurable with respect to the Wiener process $\{w(t), t \in [0;1]\}$. The equation is treated in the weak sense. It is well-known, that the linear SDE with anticipating initial condition

$$\begin{cases} dz(t) = az(t)dt + bz(t)dw(t), \\ z(0) = \alpha, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where the stochastic integral is treated in the Skorokhod sense has the solution

$$z(t) = Q_t\alpha \cdot \mathcal{E}_0^t.$$

Here $\mathcal{E}_0^t$ is the usual stochastic exponent related to (2.6) and

$$Q_t\alpha = \alpha(w(\cdot) + b\cdot\wedge t).$$

I.e. Q_t is the shift on the Wiener space. In the dimension greater then one analog of this statement is not valid in general [17]. The reason is that the matrix-valued coefficients a and b can be noncommuting and the direction of the shift can not be obtained. But in this case another approach can be used [4]. This approach is related to the von-Neimann series for the solution of the equation with GSRO. We will consider this approach for equation (2.5). Suppose, that the initial condition f in (2.5) has the form

$$f(x) = \alpha \cdot \varphi(x),$$

where φ is the deterministic function from $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and α is the random variable measurable with respect to w. Consider the Fourier transform $\widehat{U}$ of the solution. Then it satisfies following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} d\widehat{U}(\lambda, t) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \widehat{U}(\lambda, t)dt + i\lambda \widehat{U}(\lambda, t)dw(t), \\ \widehat{U}(\lambda, 0) = \alpha\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda). \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

This problem can be treated under fixed λ. Let us write the correspondent equation

$$\begin{cases} dz(t) = az(t)dt + ibz(t)dw(t), \\ z(0) = \alpha. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

This equation differs from (2.6) due to the presence of i. Consider the real and imaginary parts of z separately.

Let $z(t) = z_1(t) + iz_2(t)$, where z_1, z_2 are the real stochastic processes. Then

$$\begin{cases} dz_1(t) = az_1(t)dt - bz_2(t)dw(t), \\ dz_2(t) = az_2(t)dt + bz_1(t)dw(t), \\ z_1(0) = \alpha, \ z_2(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Last equation can be written in the matrix form. Denote $\vec{z}(t) = (z_1(t), z_2(t))$. Then

$$d\vec{z}(t) = a \,\mathrm{Id}\, \vec{z}(t)dt + B\vec{z}(t)dw(t), \tag{2.10}$$

where Id is an identical 2×2 matrix and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since B has not now the system of the real eigen-values, then we can not apply the formula with the shift of probability argument from [17]. Let the matrix-valued process $Y(s,t), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} dY(s,t) = aY(s,t)dt + BY(s,t)dw(t) \\ Y(s,s) = \mathrm{Id}\,. \end{cases}$$

Suppose, that α has a finite Ito-Wiener expansion, i.e.

$$\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int\overset{T}{\underset{0}{\overset{k}{\cdots}}}\int a_k(t_1,\ldots,t_k)dw(t_1)\ldots dw(t_k).$$

The next statement was proved in [4].

Theorem 2.1 [4]. *The Cauchy problem (2.9) has the unique solution of the form*

$$\vec{z}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int\overset{k}{\cdots}\int_{0\leq t_1\leq\ldots\leq t_k\leq t} D^k\alpha(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_k)Y(t_k,t)B\cdot$$
$$\cdot Y(t_{k-1},t_k)B\ldots BY(0,t_1)\vec{u}dt_1\ldots dt_k. \tag{2.11}$$

Here for $k=0$ the corresponding summand is $\alpha Y(0,t)\vec{u}$ and $\vec{u}=(1,0)$. Since in our situation $Y(s,t)$ has an evolutionary property, i.e. for $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3$

$$Y(t_1,t_3) = Y(t_2,t_3)Y(t_1,t_2)$$

and B commute with Y, then (2.11) can be rewritten in the form

$$\vec{z}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y(0,t)B^k\vec{u} \int\overset{k}{\cdots}\int_{0\leq t_1\leq\ldots\leq t_k\leq t} D^k\alpha(t_1,\ldots,t_k)dt_1\ldots dt_k. \tag{2.12}$$

Now let us recall, that vector $\vec{z}(t)$ consists of the real and imaginary part of the solution to the initial Cauchy problem (2.8). In order to obtain $z(t)$ consider the vector $\vec{y}_k(t) = Y(0,t)B^k\vec{u}, k \geq 1$. In can be easily checked, that

$$y_k(t)_1 + iy_k(t)_2 = i^k b^k e^{at+ibw(t)+\frac{1}{2}b^2t}.$$

So

$$z(t) = e^{at+\frac{1}{2}b^2t+ibw(t)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i^k b^k \int\overset{k}{\cdots}\int_{0\leq t_1\leq\ldots\leq t_k\leq t} D^k\alpha(t_1,\ldots,t_k)dt_1\ldots dt_k. \tag{2.13}$$

Since the action of GSRO on the random element is closed in the sense of the linear operator theory, then (2.13) remains valid for those α, who has infinitely many stochastic derivatives and for which the series converges in the square mean. Returning to the equation (2.7) we obtain the explicit expression of $\widehat{U}(\lambda, t)$

$$\widehat{U}(\lambda, t) = \widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) e^{i\lambda w(t)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i^k \lambda^k \underset{0 \le t_1 \le \ldots \le t_k \le t}{\int \overset{k}{\ldots} \int} D^k \alpha(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k. \qquad (2.14)$$

Taking the inverse Fourier transform

$$U(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi^{(k)}(x + w(t)) \underset{0 \le t_1 \le \ldots \le t_k \le t}{\int \overset{k}{\ldots} \int} D^k \alpha(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \ldots dt_k. \qquad (2.14')$$

This is the solution of the initial anticipating SPDE, which is obtained using GSRO approach.

Now let us consider the anticipating SPDE with the integrators. Let $\{w(t); t \ge 0\}$ be a Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^d$, G is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the smooth boundary. Consider the diffusion process $\{x(t); t \ge 0\}$ in G with the diffusion matrix $(a_{ij}(x))_{ij=1}^d$, drift term $(b_i(x))_{i=1,d}$. We will consider two types of the conditions on the boundary. First is the reflection. In this case we can suppose that x satisfies the Skorokhod SDE in G

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = b(x(t))dt + \sigma(x(t))dw(t) + 1\!\!1_{\partial G}(x(t))\gamma(x(t))d\eta(t), \\ x(0) = x_0, \eta(0) = 0. \end{cases} \qquad (2.15)$$

Here $\sigma(x)\sigma^*(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$, γ is the direction of the reflection and η is the local time of x on the boundary ∂G. The second type of the process is the diffusion inside G with the coefficients a and b stopped on the boundary. Let us denote this process by y. We will suppose that y satisfies the same SDE as x from (2.15) with out the last term. Consider for the function $f \in C^2(\overline{G})$ and the certain bounded linear operator C in $L_2([0;T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ following random function

$$U(x_0, t) = \Gamma(G) f(x(t)).$$

The function U is defined on $G \times [0; +\infty)$. Of course we will suppose, that $\|C\| \le 1$ in order to define U correctly.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose, that σ, b and γ have three bounded continuous derivatives and boundary of G is the C^3-bounded manifold. Let also $(\gamma, \nabla f)|_{\partial G} = 0$. Then U satisfies the following anticipating PSDE*

$$\begin{gathered} dU(x,t) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d} a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}U(x,t) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}U(x,t)\right]dt- \\ -\sum_{ij=1}^{d}\sigma_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}U(x,t)d\gamma_j(t), \end{gathered} \tag{2.16}$$

and the boundary condition

$$(\gamma, \nabla U)|_{\partial G} = 0.$$

Here for $j = 1, \dots, d$

$$\gamma_j(t) = \Gamma(G)w_j(t).$$

The equation (2.16) is understood in the weak sense, i.e. the random function U as a random element in the space $L_2(G \times [0;T])$ belongs to the domain of definition of the random integral operator from the right part of (2.16) via the definition 2.1.

Proof. Consider $\varphi \in C^1([0;T], \mathbb{R}^d)$. Denote

$$\mathcal{E}_0^t(\varphi) = \exp\left\{\int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^{d} \varphi_j(s)dw_j(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^{d} \varphi_j^2(s)ds\right\}.$$

Now, due to (1.11)

$$\begin{gathered} E\Gamma(C)f(x(t))E_0^t(\varphi) = \\ = Ef(x(t))\exp\left\{\int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^{d}(C\varphi)_j(s)dw_j(s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^{d}(C\varphi)_j^2(s)ds\right\}. \end{gathered}$$

Let us denote for the convenience $C\varphi = \psi$. Note, that $\mathcal{E}_0^T(\psi)$ is the probability density from Girsanov theorem. So,

$$Ef(x(t))\mathcal{E}_0^T(\psi) = Ef(x(t))\mathcal{E}_0^t(\psi) = Ef(z(t)),$$

where the process z satisfies the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} dz(t) = [b(z(t)) + \sigma(z(t))\psi(t)]dt+ \\ +\sigma(z(t))dw(t) + \mathbb{1}_{\partial G}(z(t))\gamma(z(t))d\zeta(t), \\ z(0) = x_0, \zeta(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

ζ is the local time of z on the boundary. Denote

$$V(x_0,t) = Ef(z(t)).$$

The function V satisfies the boundary condition $(\gamma, \nabla V)|_{\partial G} = 0$ and the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d} a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}V(x,t) +$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}V(x,t) + \sum_{ij=1}^{d}\sigma_{ij}(x)\psi_j(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}V(x,t). \tag{2.17}$$

Note, that the right part of (2.17) is exactly the expression from definition 2.1. Theorem is proved.

Remark. Due to the uniqueness of the solution to (2.17) the solution of the initial anticipating SPDE also is unique.

Consider now the functionals from the diffusion process y. Denote by τ the hitting time of y on the boundary ∂G. Let $g \in C(\partial G)$. Consider the following functional

$$\int_0^{\tau} f(y(s))ds + g(y(\tau)).$$

Our aim is to get the equation for the random function

$$\Gamma(C)\left(\int_0^{\tau} f(y(s))ds + g(y(\tau))\right). \tag{2.18}$$

Unfortunately we can not obtain the equation for (2.18) itself. Instead we will consider the following function

$$Q(x,t) = \Gamma(C)\left(\int_t^{T\wedge\tau} f(y(s))ds + \widetilde{g}(y(T\wedge\tau))\right). \tag{2.19}$$

Here $\widetilde{g}$ is the unique deterministic function from $C^2(G)\bigcap C(\overline{G})$ which satisfies the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d} a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}\widetilde{g}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j(x)\frac{\partial \widetilde{g}(x)}{\partial x_j} = 0, x \in G, \\ \widetilde{g}|_{\partial G} = g. \end{cases} \tag{2.20}$$

The following statement holds

Theorem 2.3. *The random function Q satisfies in the weak sense the following anticipating SPDE with the boundary conditions*

$$\begin{cases} dQ(x,t) = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d} a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}Q(x,t) - \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}Q(x,t) + f(x)\right] dt- \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij=1}^{d} a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}Q(x,t)d\gamma_i(t), \\ Q|_{\partial G} = g,\ Q(x,T) = \widetilde{g}(x). \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

Here $\gamma_i, i = 1, \ldots, d$ are the same as in the previous theorem and the stochastic integrals related to $d\gamma_i$ have the same meaning.

The proof of this theorem is almost analogous to the proof of the theorem 2.2 and is omitted.

3. Second quantization of the hitting moment. Consider the application of the results from previous section to the example from the introduction. Let $\{w(t); t \geq 0\}$ be the one-dimensional Wiener process starting from the point $x > 0$. Denote by τ the hitting time for w on the level 0. Let $\Gamma(C)$ be the certain operator of the second quantization. We are interesting in the value $\Gamma(C)1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{\{\tau\leq t\}}$. Firstly let us find $\Gamma(C)f(w(t\wedge\tau))$, where $f \in C^2([0; +\infty])$ bounded and satisfies condition $f''(0) = 0$. Let us denote

$$\xi_{x,s}(t) = x + w(t) - w(s),\ 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

$$\tau_{x,s} = \inf\{t \geq s : \xi_{x,s}(t) = 0\},$$
$$V(x,s) = \Gamma(C)f(\xi_{x,s}(t \wedge \tau_{x,s})).$$

Similar to theorem 2.1 V satisfies the following anticipating SPDE

$$\begin{cases} dV(x,s) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}V(x,s)ds - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V(x,s)d\gamma(s), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}V(0,s) = 0,\ V(x,t) = f(x). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Consider some special case operator C.

Example 3.1. Let C be a projector on the certain unit vector e in $L_2([0;T])$, $C = e \otimes e$. Then $\Gamma(C)$ is the conditional mathematical expectation with respect to the random variable

$$\eta = \int_0^T e(s)dw(s).$$

So

$$\gamma(t) = M(w(t)/\eta) = \eta \int_0^t e(s)ds.$$

In this case the extended stochastic integral with respect to $\gamma(t)$ from the random process ζ, which has the stochastic derivative, has the form

$$\int_0^T \zeta(s)d\gamma(s) = \int_0^T (\zeta(s)\eta - (D\zeta(s), e))e(s)ds.$$

Note, that in (3.1) V is measurable with respect to γ, i.e. (in our case) with respect to η. Let us try to find V as a smooth function not only x and s but also η. Then (3.1) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{cases} dV(x,s,\eta) = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}V(x,s,\eta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\eta V(x,s,\eta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}V(x,s,\eta))\right] ds, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}V(0,s,\eta) = 0, \ V(x,t,\eta) = f(x). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Substituting

$$\widetilde{V}(x,s,\eta) = e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2}}V(x,s,\eta)$$

we get

$$\begin{cases} d\widetilde{V}(x,s,\eta) = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\widetilde{V}(x,s,\eta) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \eta}\widetilde{V}(x,s,\eta)\right] ds, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\widetilde{V}(0,s,\eta) = 0, \ \widetilde{V}(x,t,\eta) = e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2}}f(x). \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

The last equation can bee solved by using the Ito-Wiener expansion of $\widetilde{V}$. Let us look for the solution of the kind

$$\widetilde{V}(x,s,\eta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2}}H_k(\eta)V_k(x,s). \tag{3.4}$$

Here H_k is the Hermite polynomial of order k with the first coefficient equal to one:

$$H_k(\alpha) = (-1)^k e^{\frac{\alpha^2}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha}\right)^k e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2}}.$$

Using the well-known relation

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2}}H_k(\eta) = -e^{-\frac{\eta^2}{2}}H_{k+1}(\eta)$$

one can get the following system of the boundary value problems for the deterministic coefficients in (3.4)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}V_0(x,s) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}V_0(x,s), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}V_0(0,s) = 0,\ V_0(x,t) = f(x), \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}V_{k+1}(x,s) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}V_{k+1}(x,s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}V_k(x,s), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}V_{k+1}(0,s) = 0,\ V_{k+1}(x,t) = 0, k \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

The obtained system can be solved recurrently. To do this denote for $x, y \geq 0$

$$q_t(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\left(e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{(x+y)^2}{2t}}\right).$$

q_t is the transition density for killed at zero Brownian motion. Now

$$V_0(x,s) = \int_0^{+\infty} q_{t-s}(x,y)f(y)dy,$$

$$V_{k+1}(x,s) = \int_s^t \int_0^{+\infty} q_{t-\tau}(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}V_k(y,\tau)dyd\tau, k \geq 0.$$

From this relations we get

$$V_k(x,s) = \underset{s\leq\tau_1\leq\ldots\leq\tau_k\leq t}{\int \overset{k}{\cdots} \int} \int_0^{+\infty} \overset{k}{\cdots} \int q_{t-\tau_k}(x,y_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}q_{\tau_k-\tau_{k-1}}(y_1,y_2)\ldots$$

$$\ldots\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k-1}}q_{\tau_{k-\zeta}}(y_{k-1},y_k)f(y_k)dy_1\ldots dy_k d\tau_1\ldots d\tau_k.$$

Now the solution of (3.2) and (3.3) can be written as a series (3.4).

4. Smoothing problem. The last sections of the article are devoted to the following problem. Let (w_1, w_2) be the pair of jointly Gaussian one-dimensional Wiener processes. Let the processes x_1, x_2 are obtained via the relations

$$\begin{aligned} &dx_1(t) = a_1(x_1(t))dt + dw_1(t), \\ &dx_2(t) = a_2(x_1(t))dt + dw_2(t), \\ &x_1(0) = x_2(0) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Note, that the second equality is just a definition of x_2 but not an equation. The problem is to find the conditional distribution of $x_1(t)$ for $t \in [0;1]$ under given $\{x_2(s); s \in [0;1]\}$. We will try to get the equation for

$$E(f(x_1(t))/x_2)$$

for the appropriate functions f.

Firstly let us study the joint distribution of (w_1, w_2). Note, that there exists the bounded linear operator $V : L_2([0; 1]) \to L_2([0; 1])$ such, that
$\forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L_2([0; 1]) :$

$$E \int_0^1 \varphi_1 dw_1 \int_0^1 \varphi_2 dw_2 = \int_0^1 \varphi_1 V \varphi_2 ds.$$

This fact follows from the reason, that the left part of the above formula is the continuous bilinear form with respect to φ_1 and φ_2. Moreover, the operator norm $\|V\| \leq 1$.

In this section we consider the density of the distribution (x_1, x_2) with respect to the distribution of (w_1, w_2) and study its properties under the conditional expectation. The problem is that the distribution of (w_1, w_2) is not a Wiener measure in $C([0; 1], \mathbb{R}^2)$. So in order to get the density we need to adapt the general Gaussian measure setup [18] to the our case. For the future let us denote $C([0; 1])$ as C and identify the space $C([0; 1], \mathbb{R}^2)$ with the direct sum $C \oplus C$, which is furnished by the sum of the norms. Denote also by H the space

$$L_2([0; 1], \mathbb{R}^2) = L_2([0; 1]) \oplus L_2([0; 1])$$

with the scalar product defined by the formula

$$(\varphi, \psi) = \int_0^1 \varphi_1 \psi_1 ds + \int_0^1 \varphi_2 \psi_2 ds.$$

With the pair (w_1, w_2) we can associate the generalized Gaussian random element ξ in H by the rule

$$(\varphi, \xi) = \int_0^1 \varphi_1 dw_1 + \int_0^1 \varphi_2 dw_2.$$

Note that ξ has not an identity covariation operator. Really

$$E(\varphi, \xi)(\psi, \xi) = \int_0^1 \varphi_1 \psi_1 ds + \int_0^1 \varphi_2 \psi_2 ds +$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \varphi_1 V \psi_2 ds + \int_0^1 \psi_1 V \varphi_2 ds.$$

Here V is described above bounded linear operator in $L_2([0;1])$. Denote by S the operator in H which acts by the rule

$$S\varphi = (\varphi_1 + V\varphi_2, V^*\varphi_1 + \varphi_2).$$

Then

$$E(\varphi, \xi)(\psi, \xi) = (S\varphi, \psi).$$

Our aim is to describe the transformations of the pair (w_1, w_2) in the terms of ξ. Let us start with the deterministic admissible shifts. Denote by i the canonical embedding of H into C^2, i.e.

$$i(\varphi)(t) = (\int_0^t \varphi_1 ds, \int_0^t \varphi_2 ds).$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let the operator norm $\|V\| < 1$, then for every $h \in H$ $i(h)$ is admissible shift for μ_{w_1,w_2} and the corresponding density has the form*

$$p_h(\xi) = \exp\{(S^{-1}h, \xi) - \frac{1}{2}(S^{-1}h, h)\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Remark. Due to the condition $\|V\| < 1$ the operator S^{-1} is bounded on H and can be written in the form

$$S^{-1}\varphi = \varphi + (Q_{11}\varphi_1 + Q_{12}\varphi_2, Q_{21}\varphi_1 + Q_{22}\varphi_2) = \varphi + Q\varphi,$$

where $\|Q\| < 1$.

Proof. Note, that by the definition the operator S is nonnegative. Define

$$\xi' = S^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi.$$

Then the shift of the distribution of (w_1, w_2) on the vector $i(h)$ is related to the shift of ξ' on the vector $S^{-\frac{1}{2}}h$. Now the statement of the lemma follows from the well-known formula for the density in the terms of ξ'

$$p(\xi') = \exp\{(\xi', S^{-\frac{1}{2}}h) - \frac{1}{2}(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}h, S^{-\frac{1}{2}}h)\}$$

if we rewrite it in the terms of ξ.

The lemma is proved.

Remark. Formula (4.2) can be rewritten in the terms of w_1, w_2. Really, by the definition

$$(S^{-1}h, \xi) - \frac{1}{2}(S^{-1}h, h) =$$

$$= \int_0^1 (S^{-1}h)_1 dw_1 + \int_0^1 (S^{-1}h)_2 dw_2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (S^{-1}h)_1 h_1 ds - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (S^{-1}h)_2 h_2 ds = \tag{4.3}$$

$$= \int_0^1 (h_1 + Q_{11}h_1 + Q_{12}h_2) dw_1 + \int_0^1 (h_2 + Q_{21}h_1 + Q_{22}h_2) dw_2 -$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (h_1 + Q_{11}h_1 + Q_{12}h_2) h_1 ds - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 (h_2 + Q_{21}h_1 + Q_{22}h_2) h_2 ds.$$

Using the same method one can find the density of μ_{x_1,x_2} with respect μ_{w_1,w_2}. Firstly define the stochastic derivatives of the functionals from w_1, w_2 with respect to ξ and the extended stochastic integral in the terms of ξ. Let φ be a differentiable bounded function on $C \oplus C$. Define the stochastic derivative of the random variable $\varphi(w_1, w_2)$ by the formula

$$D\varphi(w_1, w_2) := i^* \nabla \varphi(w_1, w_2).$$

By this definition for every $t \in [0; 1]$

$$Dw_1(t) = (1\!\!1_{[0;t]}, 0),$$

$$Dw_2(t) = (0, 1\!\!1_{[0;t]}).$$

Note, that $\varphi(w_1, w_2)$ can be regarded as a functional from the generalized random element ξ' which was introduced in the proof of the lemma 4.1. Since ξ' has an identity covariation operator the stochastic derivatives and extended stochastic integral for the functionals from ξ' are connected by the usual relation. Now we will define the extended stochastic integral with respect to ξ. It can be done in the following way. Consider the Gaussian random functional on H of the kind

$$J(\varphi) = (\varphi, \xi).$$

Then, in the terms of ξ' J can be rewritten as

$$J(\varphi) = (S^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi, \xi').$$

So, the action of J on the random element x in H via the definition 1.3 has the form

$$J(x) = I(S^{\frac{1}{2}}x). \tag{4.4}$$

Here I is the extended stochastic integral with respect to ξ'. Note also, that for the stochastic derivatives with respect to ξ' and ξ we have the obvious relation

$$D_{\xi'}\alpha = S^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_{\xi}\alpha.$$

Hence on the domain of definition

$$E(D_{\xi}\alpha, x) = E(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_{\xi}\alpha, S^{\frac{1}{2}}x) =$$

$$= E(D_{\xi'}\alpha, S^{\frac{1}{2}}x) = E\alpha \cdot I(S^{\frac{1}{2}}x) = E\alpha J(x). \tag{4.5}$$

Thus the relation between the stochastic derivative and extended stochastic integral with respect to ξ is the same as for ξ'. Now let us turn to the nonadapted shifts of the distribution of (w_1, w_2). Consider the pair of random processes x_1, x_2 which are defined by the equations (4.1).

The next lemma is standard.

Lemma 4.2. *Let the functions a_1, a_2 be continuously differentiable and have bounded derivatives. Then*

1) for every $t \in [0; 1]$ the random variables $x_1(t), x_2(t)$ have the stochastic derivatives $Dx_1(t), Dx_2(t)$,

2) the random element $(a_1(x_1(\cdot)), a_2(x_1(\cdot)))$ in H has the stochastic derivative, and

$$D(a_1(x_1(s)), a_2(x_1(s)))(t) =$$

$$= (a_1'(x_1(s))Dx_1(s)(t), a_2'(x_1(s))Dx_1(s)(t)),$$

3) the stochastic derivative of x_1 with respect to w_1 (i.e. the first coordinate of Dx_1) satisfies the equation

$$\begin{array}{l} D_1x_1(s)(t) = 1 + \int_t^s a_1'(x_1(r))D_1x_1(r)(t)dr, 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ D_1x_1(s)(t) = 0, \quad t > s, \end{array}$$

and

$$Dx_1(s)(t) = (D_1x_1(s)(t), 0).$$

It follows from the lemma 4.2, that $\|D(a_1(x_1(\cdot)), a_2(x_1(\cdot)))\|_H$ can be made small if we take a_1' and a_2' small enough. Since the operator $S^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded in H, then due to the theorem 3.2.2 from [18] the distribution of (x_1, x_2) is absolutely continuous with respect to the distribution (w_1, w_2) for sufficiently small a'_1, a'_2. The corresponding density will be denoted by p. Accordingly to [18] p has the form

$$p = \zeta \cdot \exp\{I(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}h) - \frac{1}{2}(S^{-1}h, h)\}, \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$h(t) = (a_1(w_1(t)), a_2(w_1(t))),$$

and ζ is the corresponding Carleman–Fredgolm determinant. Due to (4.4) (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$p = \zeta \exp\{J(S^{-1}h) - \frac{1}{2}(S^{-1}h, h)\}. \tag{4.7}$$

This expression allows us to conclude, that up to the term ζ p has the stochastic derivative. We will suppose, that this is so in the next section, where the formulas for the conditional expectation and extended stochastic integral will be obtained in non-Gaussian case.

5. Conditional expectation. For the processes (x_1, x_2) from (4.1) let us search for the conditional distribution of $x_1(t)$ under fixed $\{x_2(s); s \in [0; 1]\}$. Firstly note, that under our conditions the distribution of (x_1, x_2) is absolutely continuous with respect to the distribution of (w_1, w_2) and, consequently, the distribution of x_2 is absolutely continuous with respect to the distribution of w_2.

Denote for a moment by μ the distribution of the pair (w_1, w_2) on $C([0; 1]) \oplus C([0; 1])$ and by μ_1 and μ_2 the distributions of w_1 and w_2 (shurely these are a Wiener measures but on the different copies of $C([0; 1])$. It follows from the general theory of integration that the measure μ can be desintegrated with respect to μ_2, i.e.

$$\mu(\Delta) = \int_{C([0;1])} \nu(u, \Delta_u)\mu_2(du),$$

for arbitrary Borel Δ in $C([0; 1]) \oplus C([0; 1])$. Here ν is a measurable family of the probability measures and $\Delta_u = \{v \in C([0; 1]) : (v, u) \in \Delta\}$.

Define for the measurable bounded function $\varphi : C([0; 1]) \to \mathbb{R}$ the function

ψ by the rule

$$C([0;1]) \ni u \mapsto \psi(u) = \int_{C([0;1])} \varphi(v)p(v,u)\nu(u,dv). \tag{5.1}$$

$$\cdot\Big(\int_{C([0;1])} p(v,u)\nu(u,dv)\Big)^{-1}.$$

The following variant of the Bayes formula holds.

Lemma 5.1.

$$E(\varphi(x_1)/x_2) = \psi(x_2).$$

Proof. Note firstly, that $\psi(x_2)$ is correctly defined because the function ψ is defined up to the set of Wiener measure zero, and the distribution of x_2 is equivalent to this measure. Now for arbitrary bounded and measurable function $\gamma : C([0;1]) \to \mathbb{R}$

$$E\varphi(x_1)\gamma(x_2) = E\varphi(w_1)\gamma(w_2)p(w_1,w_2) =$$

$$= E\gamma(w_2)\cdot E(\varphi(w_1)p(w_1,w_2)/w_2) =$$

$$= E\gamma(w_2)\cdot \frac{E(\varphi(w_1)p(w_1,w_2)/w_2)}{E(p(w_1,w_2)/w_2)}\cdot E(p(w_1,w_2)/w_2) =$$

$$= E\gamma(w_2)\psi(w_2)\cdot p(w_1,w_2) = E\gamma(x_2)\psi(x_2).$$

This finishes the proof.

For arbitrary $t \in [0;1]$ denote by π_t the random measure on $\mathbb{R}$ whose pairing with the bounded measurable function f is defined by the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r)\pi_t(dr) = E(f(w_1(t))\cdot p(w_1,w_2)/w_2).$$

In view of the previous lemma it is enough to get the equation for π_t. The next lemma contains the necessary facts from the theory of extended stochastic integral.

Lemma 5.2. *Let H be the separable Hilbert space, ξ be a generalized Gaussian random element in H with zero mean and identity covariation. Suppose, that the random element x in H has two stochastic derivatives and let I and D be the symbols of the extended stochastic integral and stochastic derivative correspondingly. Then for arbitrary $h \in H$ and stochastically differentiable bounded random variable α the following formulas hold*

1) $\alpha I(x) = I(\alpha x) + (x, D\alpha)$,

2) $(DI(x), h) = (x, h) + I((Dx, h))$.

Proof. The first statement is the well-known relation [12]. Let us check 2). Use the integration by part formula. Consider the random variable β which is twice stochastically differentiable. Then, using 1),

$$E(DI(x), h)\beta = E(DI(x), \beta h) =$$

$$= EI(x)I(\beta h) = EI(x)(\beta I(h) - (D\beta, h)) =$$

$$= E(x, D(\beta I(h) - (D\beta, h))) =$$

$$= E[(x, \beta h) + (x, D\beta)I(h) - (x, (D^2\beta, h))] =$$

$$= E(x, h)\beta + E(x, I(D\beta h)) =$$

$$= E\beta((x, h) + I((Dx, h))).$$

Lemma is proved.

Remark. Note, that the statement of the lemma remains to be true in the case, when α is not bounded but all terms are well-defined. Also, due to the formula (4.5) the lemma holds in the case, when the initial generalized Gaussian random element has not identity covariation.

Now let us turn to our filtration problem.

Take the function $f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then for arbitrary $r \in \mathbb{R}$ from the Ito formula

$$f(r + w_1(t))p(w_1, w_2) =$$

$$= f(r)p(w_1, w_2) + \int_0^t f'(r + w_1(s))dw_1(s)p(w_1, w_2) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t f''(r + w_1(s))p(w_1, w_2)ds.$$

Consider the second summand. It contains the Ito integral which considers with the extended stochastic integral as it was mentioned before. So we can apply the formula 1) from the lemma 5.2:

$$p(w_1, w_2)\int_0^t f'(r + w_1(s))dw_1(s) =$$

$$= \int_0^t f'(r + w_1(s))p(w_1, w_2)dw_1(s) +$$

$$+\int_0^t f'(r+w_1(s))(SDp(w_1,w_2))_1(s)ds.$$

Here the index 1 symbolize the first coordinate of correspondent element from H. Now note, that the conditional expectation with respect to w_2 is an operator of the second quantization. So, if we denote by

$$\gamma_1(t)=E(w_1(t)/w_2),$$

then, due to the theorem 1.1

$$E(f(r+w_1(t))p(w_1,w_2)/w_2)=$$

$$=E(f(r)p(w_1,w_2)/w_2)+\int_0^t E(f'(r+w_1(s))p(w_1,w_2)/w_2)d\gamma(t)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t E(f''(r+w_1(s))p(w_1,w_2)/w_2)ds+ \tag{5.2}$$

$$+\int_0^t E(f'(r+w_1(s)(SDp(w_1,w_2))_1)(s)/w_2)ds,$$

where the integral with respect to γ is an extended stochastic integral. In order to get the stochastic differentiability of p let us consider the case when Carleman-Fredholm determinant ζ is equal to one. Denote by P_t the orthogonal projector in $L_2([0;1])\oplus L_2([0;1])$ on the subspace $L_2([0;t])\oplus L_2([0;t])$.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose, that the operator S has the property*

$$\forall t\in[0;1]:\qquad P_tS=P_tSP_t.$$

Then $\zeta=1$.

Proof. The value ζ is the Carleman-Fredholm determinant of the operator SDh, where

$$h=(a_1(w_1(\cdot)),a_2(w_1(\cdot))).$$

Now

$$Dh(t,s)=\begin{pmatrix} a_1'(w_1(t))1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[0;t]}(s) & 0 \\ \\ a_2'(w_1(t))1\!\!\mathrm{I}_{[0;t]}(s) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.3}$$

In order to prove that

$$\det{}_2(Id+SDh)=1$$

we will use the theorem 3.6.1 from [18]. Due to this theorem it is enough to check, that the operator SDh is quasi-nilpotent, i.e., that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(SDh)^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

It follows from representation (5.3), that
$\forall \varphi \in H \ \forall t \in [0;1]$

$$\|P_t Dh\varphi\|^2 \le c\int_0^t \|P_s\varphi\|^2 ds,$$

where c depends on the $\sup_{\mathbb{R}}(|a_1'| + |a_2'|)$.

Consequently

$$\|(SDh)^n(\varphi)\|^2 \le \|S\|^2 \cdot c \cdot \int_0^1 \|P_{t_1}(SDh)^{n-1}(\varphi)\|^2 dt_1 \le$$

$$\le \|S\|^2 \cdot c \cdot \int_0^1 \|P_{t_1}SP_{t_1}P_{t_1}Dh(SDh)^{n-2}(\varphi)\|^2 dt_1 \leqq$$

$$\le \|S\|^4 \cdot c^2 \cdot \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \|P_{t_2}(SDh)^{n-2}(\varphi)\|^2 dt_2 dt_1 \le \ldots \le$$

$$\le \|S\|^{2n} \cdot c^n \cdot \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \ldots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \|P_{t_n}\varphi\|^2 dt_n \ldots dt_1 \le$$

$$\le \|\varphi\|^2 \frac{\|S\|^{2n}c^n}{n!}.$$

This means, that (5.4) holds and $\zeta = 1$.

Lemma is proved.

Now one can conclude that p has the stochastic derivative and (5.1) is correct. The further concretization of (5.2) can be possible due to the special form of p. As a consequence of (5.2) and (5.4) we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that the coefficients a_1, a_2 and the operator V satisfy the conditions of the lemma 5.3. Then the random function*

$$U(r,t) = E(f(r + w_1(t))p(w_1, w_2)/w_2)$$

satisfies relation

$$dU(r,t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}U(r,t)dt + \tag{5.5}$$

$$+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}U(r,t)\gamma(dt) + Ef'(r+w_1(t))(SDp(w_1,w_2))_1(t)dt.$$

In some particular case the last term can be written in a simple form. For example, when $a_2 = 0$, then (5.5) transforms into

$$dU(r,t) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}U(r,t)dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}U(r,t)\gamma(dt) +$$

$$+a_1(r)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}U(r,t)dt$$

due to the theorem 3.2.

REFERENCES

1. Itô, Kiyosi; McKean, Henry P., Jr. Diffusion processes and their sample paths. Second printing, corrected. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 125. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
2. Simon Barry. The $P(\phi)_2$ euclidian (quantum) field theory. Princeton University Press, 1974.
3. Dorogovtsev A.A. Stochastic analysis and random maps in Hilbert space. VSP Utrecht, The Netherlands, Tokyo, Japan. - 1994. - 110 p.
4. Dorogovtsev A.A. Anticipating equations and filtration problem. Theory of stochastic processes, 1997. V.3(19), issue 1 - 2. P. 154 - 163.
5. Dorogovtsev A.A. Conditional measures for diffusion processes and anticipating stochastic equations. Theory of stochastic processes, 1998. V.4(20). P. 17 - 24.
6. Skorokhod A.V. One generalization of the stochastic integral. Probability theory and its applications. 1975, V. 20, #2. P.223 - 237.
7. Liptser R. Sh., Shyriaev A.N. Statistics of random processes. Moskow, Nauka, 1974. 696 p.
8. Billingsley Patrick. Convergence of probability measures. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, London, Sydney, Toronto. 1968.

9. Mandelbrot, B.B., van Ness, J. Fractional Brownian motion, fractional noises and applications, SIAM Rev., 10:422-437. 1968.

10. Tindel, S.; Tudor, C.A.; Viens, F. Stochastic evolution equations with fractional Brownian motion. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 127, No. 2, 186-204.

11. Dorogovtsev A.A. An action of Gaussian strong random operator on random elements. Probability theory and its applications. - 1986. - V.31,N 4. - P. 811-814.

12. Malliavin, Paul Stochastic analysis. 1997, Text.Monograph, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. 313., Berlin: Springer.

13. Nualart, David The Malliavin calculus and related topics. 1995, Text.Monograph, Probability and Its Applications., New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

14. Dorogovtsev A.A. Stochastic integration and one class of Gaussian stochastic processes. Ukr. math. journal, 1998. V.50,#4. P.495 - 505.

15. Dorogovtsev A.A., Bakun V.V. Random mappings and generalized additive functionals from Wiener process. Probability theory and its applications. 2003. V.48, #1. P.43-61

16. Yaozhong Hu. Self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motions - via chaos expansion J.Math.Kyoto Univ., 41-2 (2001), 233 - 250.

17. Buckdahn, R.; Malliavin, P.; Nualart, D. Multidimensional linear stochastic differential equations in the Skorohod sense. 1997, Text.Article, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. 62, No.1-2, 117-145 (1997).

18. A.Suleyman Ustunel, Moshe Zakai. Transformation of Measure on Wiener Space. – Springer, 2000. – 298 p.